# Effects of Start URLs in Focused Web Crawling

#### Ari Pirkola and Tuomas Talvensaari

## University of Tampere Finland

#### Focused crawlers

- Web crawlers: programs that fetch documents (pages) from the Web
- Focused Crawlers *selectively* download Web pages in a specific domain or topic, e.g. genetics, rare diseases, genetic engineering
- Downloaded documents:
  - Domain specific search engines
  - Digital libraries
  - Subject directories
  - Source for data mining

#### Basic processes of a focused crawler



#### Research problems

First Problem: Coverage obtained in different crawling processes started from different geographical regions of the Web

- Central region (called Major region) contrasted to three other regions: Australia (.au), China (.ch), and five South-American countries (.ar, .br, .cl, .mx, .uy) – these are called Minor regions
  - Major region: (.com, .edu, .gov, .org) and North-American and European countries
- Coverage = Number of (relevant) documents obtained in crawling

#### Research problems

- Second Problem: Overlap between the Major region and each Minor region
  - Overlap = Percentage of identical URLs

## Methods and test data

- 10 test topics in the domains of genomics and genetics
- 50 seed URLs in each case
- Two experiments:
  - First experiment: A text classifier was trained, Terrier search engine, 20 000 pages downloaded for each region
  - Second experiment: Query-document matching, Lemur search engine, 40 000 pages downloaded for each region

# Findings



#### Findings



Overlap rates were low: 0.0%-9.7%

Crawling processes started from different geographical regions identify mainly different relevant documents

#### Conclusions

# All regions yielded a high coverage - > All are good starting points for focused crawling

#### Overlap rates were low

- - >To be able to collect a large topic-specific document collection one has to use different start URL set

#### Conclusions – future research

One key issue for future research is to investigate how to obtain large topicspecific document collections (e.g. for digital libraries). In addition to different starting points, one could use different crawling methods. For example, we have devised a focused crawler that identifies equivalent link words in different languages on the basis of fuzzy matching (e.g. English *genetic* and German *genetisch*), as well as variant forms of the same basic word within a given language (e.g. *mutation, mutant, mutate*). It seems that the only way to obtain a high coverage in topic-oriented focused crawling is to combine the results of different approaches (starting points, methods).